Annual Report to the Alaska Board of Game on Recovery of Muskoxen with Brown Bear Predation Control in Game Management Unit 26B

Prepared by the Division of Wildlife Conservation February 2017



1) Description of Recovery Program and Department recommendation for reporting period

- A) This report is an <u>annual</u> evaluation for a recovery program authorized by the Alaska Board of Game (Board) under 5 AAC 92.126. This program was implemented in April 2012.
- **B)** Month this report was submitted by the Department to the Board:

February (annual report) Year <u>2017</u>

- C) Program name: Unit 26B Muskoxen Recovery Program
- **D**) Existing program has an associated Operational Plan: Operational Plan for recovery of muskoxen in game management unit 26B, 2012–2018, version 2, January 13, 2012.
- E) Game Management Unit fully or partly included in recovery area: <u>26B</u>
- F) Objectives for muskoxen: population size <u>300 muskoxen that are \geq 1 year old in April surveys</u> harvest <u>3–9 muskoxen annually, once the population reaches 300 muskoxen</u>
- G) Month and year the current recovery program was originally authorized by the Board: January 2012. Indicate date(s) if renewed: Not renewed
- H) Predation control is *currently active* in this recovery area.
- I) If active, month and year the <u>current</u> recovery program began: <u>April 2012</u>
- J) A habitat management program funded by the Department or from other sources is currently active in this recovery area: <u>No.</u>
- **K)** Size of recovery program area (square miles) and geographic description: <u>15,330 mi²</u>, <u>including all lands within Unit 26B; except bear control will not occur on National Park</u> Service or National Wildlife Refuge lands unless approved by these federal agencies (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Unit 26B muskoxen recovery area.

- L) Size and geographic description of area for assessing muskoxen abundance: approximately 24,000 mi², including all of Unit 26B, the portion of Unit 26A from the eastern boundary to Ikpikpuk River, and the portion of Unit 26C from the western boundary to the Hulahula River.
- **M**) Size and geographic description of area for muskoxen harvest reporting: <u>15,330 mi²</u>, <u>including all of Unit 26B; currently no open season.</u>
- N) Size and geographic description of area for assessing predator abundance: <u>15,330 mi²</u>, <u>including all of Unit 26B</u>.
- **O)** Size and geographic description of recovery area: <u>See K.</u>

- P) Criteria for evaluating progress toward objectives: <u>Number of muskoxen ≥1 year old in</u> <u>April population estimate; Ratio of yearlings per 100 cows >2 years old in April composition</u> <u>survey.</u>
- **Q)** Criteria for success with this program: <u>The program will be reviewed and modified or</u> <u>suspended if there is no evidence of improved survival or a detectable increase in the Unit</u> <u>26B muskoxen population following 3 years of bear removal</u>.
- **R**) Department recommendation for recovery program in this reporting period: <u>Continue if</u> <u>funding becomes available</u> (details provided in section 5)

2) Prey data

Date(s) and method of most recent abundance assessment for muskoxen: <u>April 23, 2015;</u> <u>conducted aerial survey using radiocollared animals to facilitate locating groups of muskoxen.</u>

Compared to recovery area, was a similar trend and magnitude of difference in abundance observed in nearby non-treatment area(s) since program inception and in the last year: <u>No</u> non-treatment area established.

Date(s) of most recent age and sex composition survey: <u>April 23, 2015; located groups of</u> <u>muskoxen by radiotracking from a fixed-wing aircraft or helicopter, then classified animals from</u> the ground as \geq 4 years old, 3 years old, 2 years old, yearling, and as male or female.

Compared to recovery area, was a similar composition trend and magnitude of difference in composition observed in nearby non-treatment area(s) since program inception and in the last year: <u>No non-treatment area established</u>.

Table 1. Muskoxen abundance, age and sex composition in assessment area that includes all of Unit 26B, the portion of Unit 26A from the eastern boundary to Ikpikpuk River, and the portion of Unit 26C from the western boundary to the Hulahula River beginning in year 1 of the recovery program. Regulatory year is 1 July to 30 June (e.g., RY 2011 is 1 July 2011 to 30 June 2012).

| | | | Composition (number per 100 females) | | | | | | |
|--------|------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|---|----------------|--|--|--|
| Period | RY | Abundance (variation) | Young | Yearlings:100 cows >2 yrs | Adult Males> 3 yrs:100 cows>2 yrs | Total <i>n</i> | | | |
| Year 1 | 2011 | 191 | | 32:100 | 42:100 | 175 | | | |
| Year 2 | 2012 | 197 | | 40:100 | 46:100 | 190 | | | |
| Year 3 | 2013 | 181 | | 17:100 | 30:100 | 173 | | | |
| Year 4 | 2014 | 198 | | 22:100 | 43:100 | 159 | | | |
| Year 5 | 2015 | 228 | | | | | | | |

Describe trend in abundance or composition: stable.

| iteguiator. | <i>y</i> year is 1 sury to 50 sure (e.g. K1 2011 is 1 sury 2011 to 50 sure 2012). | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|---|------|--------|------------|---------|---------|------------------------|-------|--|
| | | Rep | orted | Estimated | | Total | Other | | |
| Period | RY | Male | Female | Unreported | Illegal | harvest | mortality ^a | Total | |
| Year 1 | 2011 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | |
| Year 2 | 2012 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 ^b | 23 | |
| Year 3 | 2013 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | |
| Year 4 | 2014 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | |
| Year 5 | 2015 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |

Table 2. Muskoxen harvest in Unit 26B beginning in year 1 of the recovery program.Methods for estimating unreported harvest are described in Survey and Inventory reports.Regulatory year is 1 July to 30 June (e.g, RY 2011 is 1 July 2011 to 30 June 2012).

^aVehicle mortality, Defense of Life and Property, Mortuary, etc.

^bDrowning event in early winter 2012; 20 muskoxen fell through ice and drowned.

Describe trend in harvest: Not Applicable

Describe any other harvest related trend if appropriate: Not Applicable

3) Predator data

Date and method of most recent spring abundance assessment for brown bears: <u>Spring</u> 2015; used the midpoint of the most recent population estimate from June 2003 (265 bears) and sustainable harvest rate of 8% to model abundance.

Other research or evidence of trend or abundance status in brown bears: June 2003; double-count line transect population estimate method:

BECKER, E. F, AND P. X. QUANG. 2009. A gamma-shaped detection function for linetransect surveys with mark-recapture and covariate data. Journal of Agricultural, Biological and Environmental Statistics 14(2): 207–223.

Table 3. Brown bear abundance and removal in Unit 26B beginning in year 0 (1 year before the recovery program began). Objective is to maintain the current estimated population of 200–320 bears, while annually removing up to 20 bears identified as threatening or killing muskoxen. Regulatory year is 1 July to 30 June (e.g, RY 2010 is 1 July 2010 to 30 June 2011).

| | | Spring | | | | | Pu | ıblic | | Fall |
|--------|------|-------------|---------|---------|---------------|---------|---------|--------|----------------------|-------------|
| | | abundance | Harvest | | Dept. control | | control | | Total | abundance |
| Period | RY | (variation) | ren | removal | | removal | | noval | removal ^a | (variation) |
| | | | Fall | Spring | Fall | Spring | Fall | Spring | | |
| Year 0 | 2010 | 200-320 | | | | | n/a | n/a | | |
| Year 1 | 2011 | 200-320 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 3 | n/a | n/a | 26 | |
| Year 2 | 2012 | 200-320 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 3 | n/a | n/a | 21 | |
| Year 3 | 2013 | 200-320 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a | 25 | |
| Year 4 | 2014 | 200-320 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 1 | n/a | n/a | 21 | |
| Year 5 | 2015 | 200-320 | 22 | 2 | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a | 25 | |

^aAdditional removal may be Defense of Life and Property, vehicle kill, etc.

4) Costs specific to implementing recovery program

Table 4. Unit 26B cost (\$1,000 = 1.0) of agency salary based on estimate of proportional time of field level staff and cost of operations for recovery activities (e.g., predator control or habitat enhancement beyond normal Survey and Inventory work) performed by personnel in the Department or work by other state agencies (e.g., Division of Forestry) or contractors in Unit 26B beginning in year 1 of the recovery program. Fiscal year (FY) is 1 July to 30 June but the year is one <u>greater</u> than the comparable RY (e.g, FY 2012 is 1 July 2011 to 30 June 2012).

| | | Predation control ^a | | Other acti | recovery vities | Total recovery | Researc |
|--------|------|--------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Period | FY | Time ^b | Cost ^c | Time ^b | Cost ^c | cost | h cost ^d |
| Year 1 | 2012 | 0.2 | 126.1 | 1.8 | 23.5 | 149.6 | 22.5 |
| Year 2 | 2013 | 0.3 | 126.0 | 1.6 | 19.5 | 145.5 | 2.0 |
| Year 3 | 2014 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 0.0 |
| Year 4 | 2015 | <0.1 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 32.8 | 32.8 | 0.0 |
| Year 5 | 2016 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 33.7 | 33.7 | 0.0 |

^aState or private funds only.

^bPerson-months (22 days per month)

^cSalary plus operations

^dSeparate from implementing recovery program but beneficial for understanding of ecological or human response to management treatment (scientific approach that is not unique to recovery).

5) Department recommendations for annual evaluation (1 February) following Year <u>3</u> for recovery of Muskoxen in Unit 26B.

Has progress toward defined criteria been achieved? <u>No. In RY 2014 yearling:100 cows >2 years</u> old in April was 22:100 compared to the 6-year mean of 30:100 during regulatory years 2007–2012. No composition survey was conducted in RY15 because of poor weather.

Has achievement of success criteria occurred? <u>No. Objective is to achieve an April population of 300 muskoxen \geq 1 year old. In RY 2015, the April population was 228 muskoxen.</u>

Recommendations for recovery practice(s):

- Continue hunting season closure;
- Continue surveys to estimate population abundance and sex and age composition;
- Continue brown bear predation control if funding becomes available.